1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 5 NO. CR-07-2090-RHW Plaintiff, 6 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED HEARING VS. 7 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S 8 RAUL REYES AMARO, MOTION TO MODIFY CONDITIONS OF RELEASE, WITHOUT PREJUDICE 9 Defendant. 10 BEFORE THE COURT is the defendant's Motion for Expedited 11 Hearing (Ct. Rec. 23) and Motion to Modify Conditions of Release 12 (Ct. Rec. 20). For the reasons set forth in the defendant's 13 motion and memorandum (Ct. Rec. 24), IT IS ORDERED that the 14 defendant's Motion for Expedited Hearing (Ct. Rec. 23) is GRANTED. 15 The defendant's motion for modification of the conditions of 16 release (Ct. Rec. 20) is DENIED, without prejudice. The defendant 17 may seek review by this court following the chemical dependency 18 assessment. 19 Prior to the assessment, the defendant shall execute full 20 mutual releases to permit pretrial services to respond to 21 questions from the provider and to make inquiry of the provider of 22 the chemical dependency assessment 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2007. 25 *S/Cynthia* Imbrogno 26 CYNTHIA IMBROGNO 27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 28

ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS - 1